

## Fall 2006 Funding Board Survey

Total responses: 29

### Responsiveness

1. What is your experience with responsiveness of the GSC FB/Treasurer in responding to your questions, concerns and requests?

Very Satisfied	12	41.4%
Satisfied	12	41.4%
Okay	2	6.9%
Not Satisfied	1	3.4%
Other (or comments)	2	6.9%

### Efficiency

2. What is your experience with efficiency of the GSC FB/Treasurer in processing applications, conducting meetings, and announcing allocations/policies?

Very Satisfied	13	44.8%
Satisfied	9	31.0%
Okay	4	13.8%
Not Satisfied	0	0.0%
Other (please specify)	1	3.4%
No reply	2	6.9%

3. How well does the GSC FB/Treasurer serve your overall funding needs to ensure that your group operates successfully and serves student needs?

Very well	8	27.6%
Well	9	31.0%
At the base level	8	27.6%
Not at all served	0	0.0%
Other comments	2	6.9%
No reply	2	6.9%

### Future Directions

The following changes might be made to the Funding Board in the near future. Please rate the importance of the following ideas.

5. Eliminating the meetings with groups that apply

Very Important	3	10.3%
Important	5	17.2%
Somewhat Important	6	20.7%
Not Important	10	34.5%
No Reply	5	17.2%

6. Making at least one application per term mandatory

Very Important	4	13.8%
Important	2	6.9%
Somewhat Important	4	13.8%
Not Important	14	48.3%
No Reply	5	17.2%

7. Requiring at least 50% expenditure of funds allocated

Very Important	8	27.6%
Important	9	31.0%
Somewhat Important	3	10.3%
Not Important	4	13.8%
No Reply	5	17.2%

8. Promoting larger and collaborative events among groups

Very Important	5	17.2%
Important	5	17.2%
Somewhat Important	4	13.8%
Not Important	11	37.9%
No Reply	4	13.8%

9. Allowing one off-campus idea among three in application

Very Important	5	17.2%
Important	5	17.2%
Somewhat Important	10	34.5%
Not Important	4	13.8%
No Reply	5	17.2%

10. What other features and services, if any, would you like the GSC FB/Treasurer to provide, or do you have any general comments on how we have been doing?

- We were very impressed with the GSC FB and Treasurer this year. Over the past four years it felt more like the FB looked for reasons not to grant funding, while the current group has been extremely supportive. Thank you very much.
- Please send .csv or some other plain old format either along with or instead of excel files in your emails.
- An official application form for medium-sized event funding may be useful for groups to provide the relevant background, information to GSC.
- I would like to know the deadline for submitting receipts a bit further ahead of time. They tend to be posted only two weeks ahead of time.
- My only complaint is with the GSC in general not advertising events enough. There have been trips that the GSC sponsors but only advertise on their webpage, Please advertise more widely.
- The GSC has been funding groups using all of its available capital, which is admirable. I also agree with the primary goal of funding social events, as it gives more reason for students to interact with the community. With regard to the change of "eliminating the meetings with groups that apply", I believe that this is not a good idea. The meetings are only 5 minutes long and show a genuine interest in receiving funds. The GSC makes the application very easy, and the meeting is a mechanism to ensure that frivolous applications are investigated and discarded. The meeting with groups assures the GSC of a strong desire by a group for funding and the confidence that the funds asked for are required by the group.
- It would be nice if funding meetings were announced more than 18 hours ahead of time. A few days notice would make scheduling easier.
- I would like to know why we were not funded on requests that we submit.
- One comment on the upcoming changes in question 5. Words like "mandatory" and "requiring" make me sad. I already feel like we have to jump through lots of hoops. Don't increase the number of hoops! In fact, I'm not excited about ANY of these ideas.